# Java Community Process Executive Committee Meeting

## Meeting Summary

September 30 and October 1, 2003 Burlington, Massachusetts Face-to-Face Only Meeting

#### Attendance

JCP PMO - Aaron Williams, Harold Ogle, Onno Kluyt, Rob Gingell

#### **ME EC**

BEA - Bill Cox
IBM - Jim Mickelson
Insignia - Peter Bernard
Matsushita - Deanna Wilkes-Gibbs
Motorola - James Warden
Nokia - Dietmar Tallroth
PalmSource Philips RIM - Anthony Scian
Siemens Sony Sony-Ericsson Sun - Danny Coward
Symbian - Jonathan Allin

#### SE/EE EC

TI - Marion Lineberry

Apache - Geir Magnusson

Apple BEA - Ed Cobb

Borland - George Paolini

Cisco Fujitsu - Mike DeNicola

HP - Scott Jameson

IBM - Steve Wolfe

IONA - Rebecca Bergersen

Doug Lea - Doug Lea

Macromedia -

JCP Confidential

Nokia Networks – Markku Ranta Oracle – Don Deutsch SAP – Michael Bechauf SCO Group -Sun -Graham Hamilton

# Agenda

DAY ONE

Welcome and Introduction PMO General Topics

Participation Statistics

Uber Ad Hoc: IBM & Nokia Proposal

Uber Ad Hoc: BEA Issues Uber Ad Hoc: Other Issues Java Branding Discussion EC Break Out Sessions

Closing

DAY TWO

Welcome

JSR 215 Status

JSR 215: Process Document JSR 215: Other Materials JSR 215: Spec Lead Guide

Closing

## **PMO General Topics**

The PMO presented its usual set of statistics and updates. EC members were encouraged, as always, to review the minutes and summary from the previous meeting and provide any feedback or input to the PMO. The PMO presented a list of JSRs that are lagging behind the curve in terms of the pace of the JSR. EC members were encouraged to review their participation in these JSRs and work with their EG members and Spec Leads to either get these JSRs moving, or withdraw them from the process.

## **Participation Statistics**

The PMO presented participation statistics for each of the ECs for the past year. This included statistics for the number of JSR ballots that each EC member missed and the number of meetings each EC member missed. The PMO was surprised to see that 10 of the 32 seats on the EC were not meeting a minimum level of participation, but was encouraged to see that 14 of the 32 seats were exceeding expectations. The PMO has posted these statistics to the EC area of the JCP.org web site for everyone to review, and has included a link in the voting area for EC elections to help voters with their selection of

EC members.

### **Uber Ad Hoc: IBM & Nokia Proposal**

IBM and Nokia presented the latest draft of their proposal that has ben discussed within the Uber Ad Hoc. EC members were encouraged to provide feedback to Nokia and IBM. Several EC members voiced concerns about sections of the proposal and IBM and Nokia agreed to review those areas and report back to the ECs.

#### **Uber Ad Hoc: BEA Issues**

BEA provided a new draft of their interpretation of process as it relates to the early release of implementations of a JSR. There was confusion as to if this was a proposal for a change of direction or a statement of current practice. BEA and the PMO agreed to do more homework on the subject and provide more info to the ECs soon.

#### **Uber Ad Hoc: Other Issues**

The PMO asked the EC members for other topics that should be covered in the conversations of the Uber Ad Hoc. None were given, so the PMO changed the meeting structure a little. The Uber Ad Hoc meetings are now only held on an as-needed basis, and the PMO will send out a request for topics each Monday to the EC members.

## **Java Branding Discussion**

Anil Gadre from Sun joined the meeting on a conference call and took questions from the EC members regarding Sun's Java branding strategy.

#### **EC Break Out Sessions**

Each EC was given time to discuss issues that it felt were only interesting to that EC.

#### JSR 215: Status

JSR 215 has completed Community Review and is ready for Public Review. The PMO is working hard to get this JSR completed before the new EC takes office in December.

#### **JSR 215: Process Document**

The EC members reviewed all of the changes made to the process document as part of JSR 215. Some minor questions were asked, but for the most part, the document was ready for Public Review.

#### JSR 215: Other Materials

The EC members are reviewed proposed changes to the process that did not involve the process document. This included changes to the JSR submission form, the EG nomination form, etc. These changes also received few comments.

#### JSR 215: Spec Lead Guide

Finally, the PMO presented the proposed changes to the Spec Lead Guide. These changes were designed to reflect the changes being made by JSR 215. There were a few questions surrounding the proposed changes, but for the most part, the changes were approved.