Java Community Process
Executive Committee Meeting

April 5 2016
# Roll call

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMO</th>
<th>ARM</th>
<th>Azul Systems</th>
<th>Credit Suisse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eclipse</td>
<td><strong>Ericsson</strong></td>
<td>Fujitsu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gemalto</td>
<td>Goldman Sachs</td>
<td>Hazelcast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPE</td>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>Intel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Werner Keil</td>
<td>London Java Community</td>
<td>Geir Magnusson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MicroDoc</td>
<td>NXP</td>
<td>Oracle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red Hat</td>
<td><strong>SAP</strong></td>
<td>Software AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SouJava</td>
<td>TOTVS</td>
<td>Twitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V2COM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Members listed in green will regain their voting privileges if they attend this meeting.
EC meeting attendance

• EC members who miss two meetings in a row lose their voting privileges until they have attended two in a row.

• EC members who miss five meetings in a row or who miss two-thirds of the meetings in any 12-month period forfeit their seats.
  • NOTE: members who participate in face-to-face meetings by phone are officially counted as absent.

• Ericsson and SAP will regain their voting privileges if they attend this meeting.
Agenda

• Roll call
• EC meeting attendance
• Personnel changes
• Action Item review
• EC Stats
• JSR 364 implementation
• Final update on JSR 358
• Java EE
• Life after JCP.next
  • Adoption update
  • OpenJDK and the JCP
• JCP.next.5
• Topics for Berlin meeting
• Chris Dennis replaces Alex Snaps as the alternate for Software AG.

• Simon Ritter is an additional alternate for Azul.

• Mihai Costache is an additional alternate for Intel.

• Tim Dinsdale replaces Mike Marzo as an alternate for Goldman Sachs.

• Please check the EC-private and the public contact pages and report any necessary updates to the PMO.
  
  • [http://www2.jcp.org/aboutJava/communityprocess/ec-private/ECCContact.html](http://www2.jcp.org/aboutJava/communityprocess/ec-private/ECCContact.html)
  
  • [http://jcp.org/en/participation/committee#membership](http://jcp.org/en/participation/committee#membership)
Action Item review

See the AI JIRA
Presentation distributed separately
JSR 364 implementation

• JCP.org changes have been completed.
• JCP 2.10 will go live within a few days.
JSR 364 membership migration

- Inform individual members at least 60 days before their annual renewal dates that they must either obtain an Employer Contribution Agreement or transition to Associate Member status.
  - Encourage members to “voluntarily” transition before their annual renewal dates, possibly by awarding “prizes” for those who first enroll as Associate Members.
- Similarly, inform JUGs that are not legal entities that they must transition to Partner Member status.
• JCP 2.10 contains the following language:

“Although no TCK is required for process-change JSRs it is still necessary to verify whether these JSRs are implemented completely, correctly, and successfully.

In addition to the modified documents (the Process Document and/or the JSPA) the Expert Group shall therefore publish a JSR Review and Evaluation form containing evaluation questions relating to each non-trivial change introduced by the JSR.

Within 12 months of completing the JSR the EC must to use this form to review and evaluate the implementation of the JSR. The EC should then take any actions it might think necessary to correct or improve any identified problems or omissions.

In the interests of transparency the results of the review and a summary of all follow-on actions taken must be made public.”

• We decided to voluntarily implement this requirement. See the checklist.
Promoting JCP 2.10 (issue #92)

- Develop messages and presentation that community members (JUGs, EC Members, Spec Leads, EG Members etc.) can use.
  - Develop a JUG kit similar to the JCP 15 year anniversary kit?
- Interviews with EC Members and community members.
- Online events.
- Membership drive leading up to 2016 EC Elections.
Final JSR 358 update

- The [JSR page](#) has now been updated to indicate that JSR 358 has been withdrawn.
- What (if anything) should we do to publicize and to explain the decision to withdraw?
Life after JCP.next

• The relationship between OpenJDK and the JCP.
• Corporate recruitment campaign.
• Java ecosystem review (encourage new JSRs).
• Outreach to the open-source community to promote the value of standardization.
• Formalizing the JSR status-report process (see AI).
• Helping inexperienced Spec Leads work their way through the process (LJC volunteered to help with JSR 377).
  • This should just be business as usual – no need for a formal initiative.
• A campaign to encourage adoption of existing JSRs and of new versions of the Java platforms.
OpenJDK and the JCP

- Potential synergies between JCP.next.5 (collaborative development of RIs) and efforts to improve relationship between OpenJDK and the JCP.
- JCP.next.5 could focus on removing obstacles to collaborative development.
Adoption update

• An Adoption group was formed out of the Adopt OpenJDK program in order to encourage open source projects to test against Early Access builds.
  • Dependence on untested open-source libraries can seriously inhibit adoption of new releases of the platform.
• See their Wiki for suggestions on how to get started and join the adoption-discuss mailing list.
• The Quality Outreach project lists Open Source projects being tested against JDK 9.
• See their Wiki for the list of 50 open-source projects that have been tested to date.
• Test your own open-source projects.
As we agreed when we decided to withdraw JSR 358, we will file a new JSR (JCP.next.5) to work on those changes that can be implemented simply by modifying the Process Document.

- See [list of proposed changes](#).

As always, we will need to get internal executive approval (which in turn will involve legal advice) before we can formally file the JSR.

In the meantime, we should discuss and agree on a “theme” for the JSR.

- Suggestion: facilitating collaborative RI development.
  - Study OpenJDK and other collaborative (“open-source”) development projects to ensure that we don’t impose obstacles (see [draft of JSR submission](#)).
Next meeting

May 10-11 2016
Face-to-face in Berlin, Germany
Hosted by Gemalto
Thank you!
http://jcp.org