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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<tbody>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td>Oracle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Hat</td>
<td>SAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Software AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SouJava</td>
<td>TOTVS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Twitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2COM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tbody>
</table>

Members listed in blue will lose their voting privileges if they miss this meeting.
Agenda (1)

- Roll call
- EC meeting attendance
- Personnel changes
- Action Item review
- EC Stats
- 15-year anniversary party
- EC meeting times
- Spec Lead presentations
  - JSR 359: SIP Servlet 2.0 - Binod PG
  - JSR 343: Java Message Service 2.0 - Nigel Deakin
- Membership renewal report (Heather Vancura)
Agenda (2)

• JSR 364 Expert Group session
• JSR 358 Expert Group session
  – Feedback from Oracle on the IP-Flow document
  – Discussion on the UPL with Jim Wright
• Goldman Sachs presentation: The GS Collections Framework
• JSR mentorship (follow-up on request from Jody Sharpe)
  – Report from LJC
  – Discussion of suggested process changes
• UNHCR/WFP refugees system (Mohamed Taman)
• Lessons from JSR 48
• EC discussions of JSR ballot results
EC meeting attendance

- EC members who miss two meetings in a row lose their voting privileges until they have attended two in a row.
- EC members who miss five meetings in a row or who miss two-thirds of the meetings in any 12-month period forfeit their seats.
  - NOTE: members who participate in face-to-face meetings by phone are officially counted as absent.
- SAP will lose their voting privileges if they miss this meeting.
Personnel changes

- Adamu Haruna replaces Ben Wang as Nokia’s primary rep. Siyu Gao is no longer his alternate (no replacement has yet been nominated).
- Vito Spatafora replaces Peter Whitehead as IBM’s primary rep.
- Please check the EC-private and the public contact pages and report any necessary updates to the PMO.
  - [http://www2.jcp.org/aboutJava/communityprocess/ec-private/ECContact.html](http://www2.jcp.org/aboutJava/communityprocess/ec-private/ECContact.html)
  - [http://jcp.org/en/participation/committee#membership](http://jcp.org/en/participation/committee#membership)
Action Item review

See separate AI summary
Presentation distributed separately
JCP 15-year anniversary party

• At 6:00 pm on 18 June the PMO, in collaboration with the Silicon Valley Java User Group, will host a 15-year anniversary party at the Computer History Museum in Mountain View, California.

• We plan an evening reception with drinks and appetizers for ~100 guests including JCP members, EC Members, Spec Leads, and individuals who have played an influential role in helping the JCP to succeed.

• Please mark your calendars. More details to follow.
EC meeting times

- We meet at 7:00 am Pacific time because we used to have two EC members who were based in China (Aplix and Nokia).
- Now we have none.
- Should we change our meeting time to 8:00 or 9:00 am?
Spec Lead presentations

Presentations distributed separately
Membership renewal report

Presentation distributed separately
Presentation distributed separately
JSR 358 Expert Group session

• Feedback on the IP-Flow document from Oracle Legal and management.
  • Discussion…

• The Universal Permissive License (UPL).
  • Discussion with Jim Wright…
The GS Collections Framework

Presentation distributed separately
- Last month we received a JSR proposal from a JCP member (Jody Sharpe) who requested mentoring in the process of submitting and leading a JSR.
- We have discussed this topic in the past - usually in the context of an “incubator” process.
- We have agreed that some JSR submitters need help (in order to decrease the chances of their JSR failing in the Approval Ballot) but have not been able to agree on a solution.
- We asked the LJC to provide some immediate review and hand-holding, and agreed to discuss this topic at the f2f.
- LJC report.
- Discussion…
Presentation distributed separately
Lessons from JSR 48

- The recent rejection of JSR 48 at the Final Approval Ballot stage raised a number of procedural issues that we agreed to should discuss in more detail at the f2f meeting.
- Do we need to define tighter or additional deadlines in the Process Document?
  - Remember that this JSR was not running under the latest version of the Process Document.
- Should we define formal or informal processes to be followed when a previously Dormant JSR comes back to life?
- Was this such an unlikely occurrence that we don’t need to make any significant changes in our processes?
• During the January f2f meeting we discussed the results of the Public Review Ballot for JSR 354, during which there had been some confusion.

• Some members suggested that holding such discussions during EC meetings would be inappropriate or unnecessary.

• Others said that they saw value in discussing ballot results during EC meetings rather than simply adding comments to votes.

• We agreed to continue this discussion (from a process perspective) at a future meeting…
June 10 2014 at 7:00 am PDT
Teleconference
Thank you!
http://jcp.org