

# Executive Committee Meeting Minutes for 17 January 2006

Sun Proprietary and Confidential pursuant to the JSPA  
Intended for Executive Committee discussion, with an additional disclosure  
within Executive Committee member companies on a Need to Know Basis.

**Date:** 17 January 2006

**Location:** Conference Call

## ***Agenda***

PMO General Topics

Electronic Voting proposal

## ***EC Attendance***

### **PMO**

Heather VanCura

Liz Kiener

### **ME EC**

Ericsson Mobile Platforms – Angana Ghosh

IBM – David Girle

Intel – Eric Dittert

Matsushita – Alan Kaplan

Motorola –

Nokia –

NTT DoCoMo – Kazuhiro Yamada

Orange France –

Philips –

RIM – Nobuhisa Yoda

Samsung – Hoseok An

Siemens – Marquart Franz, Birgit Kreller

Sony-Ericsson –

Sun – David Rivas, John Muhlner

Symbian –

Vodafone –

### **SE/EE EC**

Apache – Geir Magnusson

BEA – Ed Cobb

Borland –

Fujitsu – Mike DeNicola, Hamid Ben Malik  
Google –  
HP – Scott Jameson  
IBM – Mark Thomas, Steve Wolfe  
Intel – Wayne Carr, Tony Baker  
JBoss –  
Doug Lea – present  
Nortel Networks – Harpreet Geekee  
Oracle –  
SAP –  
SAS Institute – Rich Main  
Hani Suleiman – present  
Sun – Graham Hamilton, Danny Coward

## **Minutes**

Quorum is there for SE-EE today but not for ME.

Heather asking for other comments for Dec EC meeting minutes and summary. Kaz from NTT. Changes will come in on one item. One was sent to Onno alone. Onno to will insert the comments.

JSR Stats – no questions

Wayne Carr presents on the Electronic Voting proposal. Motions, and their related proposals, by electronic voting have to be discussed at previous meeting. Proposals can be made by any member. E-voting will be 10 days. The PMO can do votes any way they want to. It can be the same as ballot voting. The numbers to vote can be the same for the motion to pass. All this is in the proposal itself.

Scott Jameson supports the principle. Determination and motion would be determined at a meeting. More of the mechanics should be completed at the meeting. The motion should be made at the meeting not by email. Scott is not objecting to it, we should establish best practices first.

Graham Hamilton thinks the meeting as group to make the motion is valid and before doing the E-vote. Would like to see reasonable, concrete motions at meetings. Might have bugs in the proposal which could then be fixed. Graham is nervous about people voting that were not at the meeting. The meetings are valuable. With the e-vote this could be a problem. Doug says that is very valid about participation. Wayne does not want to have people not show. In section 5 add wording that says there must be at least 5 votes and EC members cannot vote electronically if they miss 2 consecutive meetings and then they can vote again when they start attending again. This will be ok for Graham. The quest is not to punish the people that miss one meeting, but the ones that do not attend meetings very often.

Scott says attendance is EC member's responsibility. Scott wants to look at the big picture and include the specs in that. However, if voting rules are being changed in general that would mean a new JSR. Graham is ok with the notion that e-votes must have been done different than voting on JSRs. JSRs are being voted on after they are up for review and they have always been voted on this way. It does not require being at the meeting. However, issues and motions discussed in meetings are often complex and should not be voted on by non-attendees. Graham says the live discussion is valid and you cannot vote if have not attended.

Wayne asks for objections that if you miss 2 meetings in a row, you cannot not vote. Wayne will put that in the proposal and will send them out. Wayne wants to put it on the agenda for next

meeting. Wayne will follow up off line with Graham.

Thanks for calling in. We will see in Santa Clara at next mtg 22 Feb and 23 Feb is F2F.