Executive Committee Meeting Report for 22 August 2006

Date: 22 August 2006

Location: Teleconference

Agenda

PMO General Topics

Discussion on JCP changes

EC Attendance

PMO

Onno Kluyt
Liz Kiener

ME EC

Ericsson Mobile Platforms – Magnus Olsson
IBM – David Oliver
Intel – Eric Dittert
Matsushita – *not present*
Motorola – James Warden
Nokia – *not present*
NTT DoCoMo – *not present*
Orange France – Cuithlauac Alvarado, Thibaud Mienville
Philips – Jon Piesing
RIM – Mike Rybak
Samsung – Ho An
Siemens – Marquart Franz
Sony-Ericsson – *not present*
Sun – John Muhlner, Bart Calder
Symbian – *not present*
Vodafone – Unai Labirua

SE/EE EC

Apache – Geir Magnusson
BEA – Ed Cobb
Borland – *not present*
Fujitsu – Mike DeNicola
Google – Josh Bloch
HP – Scott Jameson
IBM – Steve Wolfe
Intel – Wayne Carr
JBoss – *not present*
Doug Lea – present
Nortel Networks – Harpreet Geekee
Oracle – Don Deutsch
SAP – Vicki Shipkowitz
SAS Institute – Rich Main
Hani Suleiman – present
Sun – Graham Hamilton, Peter Walker, Danny Coward

Minutes

The Transplant proposal is incorrectly named as "transport proposal" in the minutes and summary.

Intel's motions

Intel puts forward for motion the three proposals it presented on at the previous meeting. Each proposal is to add a particular item to the JSR for process change.

Proposal 1: TCK License cannot prevent compatible implementations

Motion result:

> ME EC: 11 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain

> SE/EE EC: 14 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain

Proposal 2: Timely offer of TCK license

Motion result:

> ME EC: 11 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain

> SE/EE EC: 14 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain

Proposal 3: Public TCK license

Motion result:

> ME EC: 10 yes, 0 no, 1 abstain

> SE/EE EC: 13 yes, 0 no, 1 abstain

Draft JSR for process change

Onno summarizes the contents of the draft JSR.

In response to a question Onno describes that Sun's thinking on required JSPA changes to address the liaison issue is that the confidentiality clause needs amendment and so if that is indeed the case, that this item is achievable.

Doug Lea asks about the individuals and the JCP. Onno responds that the draft makes it appear that there is a heavy emphasis on this item because the paragraph in section 2.5 that mentions individuals actually mostly talks about transparency. Onno proposes to pull apart each topic in a separate paragraph.

The suggested schedule for the JSR evokes some discussion whether it is feasible or not. Onno emphasizes that he believes it is important to try to keep the duration of the JSR short as there are several items in the JSR that are important to many members.

An EC member asks about the time line for the draft JSR. Onno responds that he likes to bring a near final draft to the September meeting and would like there to obtain sufficient support to formally submit the JSR after the meeting so that the JSR can start late September or early October.

Orange France reports that they have been working on some proposals for process change . They are not ready to talk about these proposals here at this meeting and ask if there is still an opportunity to introduce them later. Onno responds that he would then very prefer to see Orange France present these proposals at the September meeting in order to be able to keep to a time line of a late September start of the JSR.

Other

Scott Jameson, HP, requests that Sun give an update on its efforts to open source Java.

Steve Wolfe, IBM, requests an agenda item for IBM at the next meeting to discuss the worldwide changes to daylight savings time.